

Table of Contents

Introduction	5
Dealing With Chronic and Acute Stress	5
Basic Science and Clinical Case Reports	8
Electromagnetic Theory: The Fundamental Mechanism	10
Selected Review of Research Projects	11
1. Effect of Magnetic Fields on Excised Mice Sciatic Nerves In-Vitro	11
2. Restoration of Nerve Ultrastructure and Recovery from Motorneuropathy in Mice by Electromagnetic Field	13
Radial Nerve Ultrastructure Presented In Electron Micrographs	14
3. Electromagnetic Fields Affect Cardiac Rate and Rhythm	17
Low Level Electromagnetic Fields Suppress Atrial Fibrillation Inducibility	17
Methods:	17
Conclusions:	18
4. Efficacy and Safety of Low Level Electromagnetic Field Treatment in Parkinson’s Disease.....	18
Conclusions:	18
5. Effects of Low Intensity and Low Frequency Electromagnetic Field Stimulation (EMFS) on Thoracic Spinal Neurons Receiving Noxious Cardiac and Esophageal Inputs	20
Summary:	20
6. Low Amplitude, Extremely Low Frequency Magnetic Fields for the Treatment of Osteoarthritic Knees: A Double-Blind Clinical Study	20
Participating Institutions:	20
Context:	21
Objective:	21
Designs:	21
Setting:	21
Participants:	21
Intervention:	21
Outcome Measures:	21
Results:	21
Conclusion:	21
7. Effects of pico-Tesla electromagnetic field treatment on wound healing in rats	22
Objective:	22
Animals:	22
Procedure:	22
Results:	22
Conclusions and Clinical Relevance:	22
In Vitro Cancer Cell Studies	23
8. The following studies were conducted from (2000), by the Department of Basic Sciences, Veterinary Medical Research, Mississippi State University	23
Preliminary Investigations and Experimental Findings:	23
10. Alleviation of Chronic Pain (1999): Case-Controlled and Double-Blind Clinical Studies	25
Status:	26
11. Fibromyalgia: Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Randomized Clinical Study	27
Group A Treated	27
Group B Treated	27
Group C Untreated Control	28
% Responders in Groups A, B and C	28
REFERENCES	30

Introduction

Not all magnetic fields are created equal. Certain intensities and frequencies are resonant to living systems, while others produce dissonance (also known as “noise”). All life on Earth evolved within the geomagnetic field, which is steady and relatively unchanging.

As life grew more complex, its natural magnetic profiles became more subtle, to establish bioresonance within the living system at the quantum level of structure and function. Therefore, certain “windows”, or narrow ranges of intensity and frequency are natural to living systems for maintenance of communication, equilibrium and balance at atomic, molecular and cellular levels. For Earth’s magnetic field, these ranges are about 0.3 gauss to about 0.6 gauss, averaging about 0.5 gauss in North America. Remarkably, these ranges are lower than a millionth of a gauss in humans, the most complex of all species.

It has been demonstrated that pico-Tesla range magnetic fields (pT MF’s) are physiologic, and they are associated with the extremely low frequencies as seen in the electroencephalogram (EEG). David Cohen of MIT was the first to use a superconducting magnetometer (SQUID) to measure the normal magnetic fields emanating from the human brain and heart. He found that these naturally-occurring magnetic fields were in the pico-Tesla range, about 10 million times weaker than the geomagnetic field. At the other end of the strength spectrum, Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) magnetic fields are about 10,000 gauss, strong enough to line up nuclei for diagnosis. Human magnetic fields are, naturally, billions of times weaker. It is interesting to note that most of the permanent magnets now used for magnet therapy range in strength from several gauss to several thousand gauss. This is out of the range for biological resonance with humans. By contrast, household appliances, cellular telephones, and computers emit MFs a bit weaker than the geomagnetic, at milligauss levels. These too are outside of the bioresonance windows, which makes them a source of dissonance. Therefore, when considering use of Pulsed Electromagnetic Magnetic Fields (PEMF’s) for adjunctive therapy to conventional health care, it is advisable to employ naturally-occurring magnetic field intensities (i.e. pico-Tesla range MF’s), or secondarily the geomagnetic, at frequencies within the EEG spectrum.

Dealing With Chronic and Acute Stress

"Stress" refers to a constraining force or influence. For example, forces are exerted when one body part presses on, pulls on, pushes against, or tends to compress or twist another body part. Stress produces a deformation or strain to cause tension, and it is generally a factor in disease causation. The

tension can be physical, chemical or emotional. Stress alters an existent equilibrium.

The central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral nervous system (PNS) are significantly affected by stress, as are all other systems of the body, including the immune system. More specifically, stress adversely affects the autonomic nervous system (ANS), which innervates smooth and cardiac muscles, as well as glands. The visceral nervous system is a major component of the autonomic nervous system. Additionally, most peripheral nerves are composed of both motor and sensory neurons.

In the PNS, the nuclei (cell bodies) are clumped together as ganglia. Thus, in the ANS, the ganglia are sites of synapse intermediate between end organs and the spinal cord. The 31 pairs of spinal nerves that emerge through foramina eventually swell into dorsal root ganglia (where cell bodies of sensory neurons are located), and become rami to form the plexi: cervical, brachial, lumbar and sacral.

The ANS functions automatically, below conscious levels, but it is influenced by stress, be it physical or emotional. Effector cells of the ANS can either be stimulated or inhibited, and the ANS regulates a diversity of functions. These functions include blood vessel diameter, blood pressure, GI secretion, pupil size, micturition, sweating, kidney function, bronchi diameter, erectile function, basal metabolism, liver function, body temperature, and pancreatic function.

ANS and CNS interact through centers within the hypothalamus, brain stem and spinal cord, integrating the brain's cortex and limbic systems with visceral inputs and the rest of the ANS activity.

Sympathetic stimulation activates the body in states of stress, fear and rage (the "fight or flight" reaction), and during physical strain. It accelerates norepinephrine and epinephrine. This increases heart rate, blood pressure, blood sugar, and stress. Of course, the result is more strain and tension, in a self-sustaining loop. Parasympathetic stimulation maintains body functions under quiet, day-to-day living conditions. It decreases heart rate; promotes digestion and absorption of food; and promotes regular heart rhythm, normal sleep patterns, kidney function and relaxation, thus promoting reduction of pain, tensions, anxiety and strain.

PEMF stimulation with pico-Tesla magnetic fields has been shown to enhance parasympathetic stimulation to enhance feelings of relaxation. By extension, it provides the basis for lowering blood pressure, restoring cardiac rhythmicity, reducing intraocular pressure, stimulating the intestines and urinary bladder, dilating of peripheral blood vessels, and promoting normal sleeping rhythms. Parasympathetic stimulation is a natural by-product when feelings of relaxation are enhanced, because cortical and limbic brain functions are integrated with ANS functions. It serves as a positive, safe and adjunctive modality, in much the same way that the

Chiropractor adjusts the structures of the body to restore alignment, balanced function and homeostasis.. As a direct consequence of this combined approach to wellness, pain is oftentimes reduced from a great variety of etiologies (arthritis, fibromyalgia, headaches sprains, strains, contusions, etc.), with concomitant increase in energy levels.

Basic Science and Clinical Case Reports

Numerous positive basic science research studies, (both in-vitro and in-vivo) have been reported utilizing pT MF's. The results include such benefits as the reduction of heart rate, the increase of the A-H interval, and the reduction of atrial fibrillation; the regeneration of cellular and subcellular structures (myelin sheath, mitochondria, Schwann cells, neurofilaments, and microtubules of peripheral nerves) secondary to induced neuropathy; modulation of afferent inputs that innervate spinal neurons; and wound healing. Double blind, randomized, placebo-controlled studies in Parkinson's disease, fibromyalgia, and osteoarthritis have also shown promising results.

That said, clinical reports are quite interesting, and more personalized sample case reports follow.

- A) A Baltimore Ravens football player, 6 feet 5 inches in height, weighing 280 pounds, sustained an ankle sprain during practice. (Grade 2). He presented with extensive diffuse swelling, severe pain, and was unable to walk without a prominent limp and exacerbation of pain. There was ecchymosis, i.e. large purplish patch caused by extravasation of blood into the skin, about 3 centimeters in diameter. The anterior talofibular ligament (ATL) had been slightly torn. After icing and wrapping the ankle, the patient presented on crutches for treatment. The trainer presumed the patient would not be able to continue practice for quite some time, nor could he possibly play in the upcoming game, scheduled for early the following week. After 70 minutes of non-invasive treatment with pT MF's, using Helmholtz coils positioned on both sides of the ankle (22inch diameter coils), the swelling was almost completely gone (95%). Pain was absent (100%), and he could walk pain free, without a limp. He was instructed to rest the ankle, continue use of crutches, and elevate his leg. He was on the field the next day reviewing strategy, and played normally in the upcoming game.
- B) The goalie for the Bahamian soccer team (20 years) was 40 years of age, 5 feet 7 inches in height, and weighed 220 pounds. He presented with severe pain of the lower back, caused by a combination of etiological factors, including: acute ligamentous sprain, boney degeneration and rupture of two intervertebral discs, nerve root compression, swelling, and sciatica. He also had a bullet lodged in his thoracic spine, very near the spinal cord (making it inoperable). His mobility had also been markedly reduced. He had been using bracing systems for several months before presentation. He was treated with pT MF's, utilizing Helmholtz Coils 7 feet in diameter. After completing 5 one-hour treatments in succession, he was pain free and able to

resume practice. He returned periodically for maintenance, averaging two treatments per month for the next 2 years to remain functional and pain free.

- C) A professional golfer (senior tour) 50 years old, 5 feet 9 inches in height, and weighing 165 pounds presented with chronic medial and lateral humeral epicondylitis. The forearm muscles (extensors of the digits and wrist) and their tendinous attachments near the origins on the lateral epicondyle of the humerus caused the more severe pain and had been troublesome for almost a year, limiting his ability to practice. He had tried wraps, combinations of cold/heat, massage, etc. The patient was treated for one hour on two successive days with pT MF's. The pain disappeared completely, and 3 weeks later he won a major tournament, his first in 20 years.

Electromagnetic Theory: The Fundamental Mechanism

Einstein referred to the electromagnetic field as matter and to the gravitational field as space, predicting that these two fundamental realities of nature could be unified through an algebraic theory (Einstein A, 1956).

The theory underpinning the use of pico-Tesla magnetic field therapy is today based on the unified field equation of Jacobson Resonance:

$$Mc^2=BvLq$$

Where Mc^2 is the intrinsic energy of a target molecule in the body, and $BvLq$ is the energy of the electromagnetic field interaction; the equal energies are connected by a wave of equal gravitational energy. When the energy of the wave is equal to the intrinsic rest mass energy of the molecule, then bioresonance is achieved, and all comparable molecules will coherently vibrate throughout the body. Coherence of vital quantum function may be restored, and amplified to the whole body system, because homeostasis is based upon equilibrium, balance and communications between molecules. The theory depicts biological structures to be piezoelectric, such as bone, keratin, collagen, nucleoproteins, genes, and cytoskeletal structures such as microtubules (an ordered structure of oriented dipoles). Piezoelectricity is known to be a property of semi-conductive crystals, by which the application of mechanical force generates a voltage (defined as energy per unit charge) or, conversely, the application of voltage results in the production of a mechanical force. That is to say, piezoelectricity results in the conversion of mechanical vibrations to electrical oscillations or the conversion of electrical oscillations to mechanical vibrations. An everyday example of this phenomenon can be found in the frequency-stabilized oscillators used in radio and television broadcasting. Another routine example is the operation of a quartz wristwatch.

The effect may be made continuous by altering the pressure, the temperature or the electromagnetic force. (Jacobson, J.I., 1987, 1991). Such interactions may be interpreted as photo-mechanic ponderomotive forces generated by coherent electric forces. Otherwise stated, photon-phonon transductions or non-linear vibrational waves (without losing energy) result from gravity waves (quantum gravity), secondary to electromagnetic forces. Note that a photon refers to a quantum of light, whereas a phonon is the vibration of a crystal lattice structure.

Jacobson Resonance maintains that piezoelectricity is a property of all matter, as light is transduced to sound and vice versa. When comparing structures, the piezoelectric property is determined as a matter of degree, just as the insulative property of structures is a matter of degree, because all matter is electrically conductive to some extent.

A simple analogy is useful. Imagine that iron filings are sprinkled on a sheet of paper, and that the paper is placed over a bar magnet. Tapping the paper gently, we may view the orientation of filings in correspondence to the lines of force created by the bar magnet. If all the filings are so oriented, except one, we then have 3 possibilities to consider when we tap a second time:

- A) If the paper is then tapped too hard, more of the iron filings will be displaced from the oriented magnetic lines of force;
- B) If the paper is tapped too gently there will be no change in the positions of the filings; or
- C) If the paper is tapped with precisely the right force, the displaced iron filing will then snap right into place, and all the filings would then be properly ordered and aligned with the magnetic lines of force.

This represents an example of resonance. And this analogy is representational of the atoms in a molecule (which are themselves permanent spinning magnetics) or molecules in a living system (which exhibit magnetic moments subject to electromagnetic fields).

Selected Review of Research Projects

The Jacobson Resonance Theory is the first to predict that pico-Tesla range magnetic fields are physiologic, and that these fields are vital for renormalizing the structure and function of tissue. All of the following studies utilized Jacobson Resonators (the forerunner of the Magnesphere[®]) to provide electromagnetic field parameters and protocols, derived from Jacobson Resonance Theory, whether the studies were basic science (in vitro and in vivo) or double blind clinical studies.

1. Effect of Magnetic Fields on Excised Mice Sciatic Nerves In-Vitro

Two studies were conducted at the Weill Medical College of Cornell University and then replicated at Fairleigh Dickson University, Department of Biological Sciences. These studies tested the effect of pT magnetic fields on excised sciatic nerves of mice, in-vitro. The Principle Investigator at Cornell was Prof. Brij B. Saxena, Director of Reproductive Endocrinology, Department of Obstetrics/Gynecology. The Principal Investigator at Fairleigh Dickinson was Professor Emeritus of Neuroscience, Anjali Saxena.

In the first experiment four segments of the sciatic nerve, 1.5cm in length and

1.0 mm in width, were surgically excised under aseptic conditions from mice under ether anesthesia. Nerve segments were maintained in flasks and incubated. Growth medium was changed three times a week. A set of two nerve segments was exposed to 14 magnetic field settings 35 minutes each day for 5 days. The other set served as the unexposed control. Controlled

cultures of excised nerve segments were removed from the incubator and also placed in between the Resonator coils (having the coils turned off). In the second set of experiments, both sciatic nerves of 12 mice were excised aseptically to yield a total of 24 nerve segments. Six nerve segments served as the control. The remaining 18 nerves were divided into three experimental groups of 6 nerve segments each and exposed to magnetic fields daily for 15 days. Experimental groups were selected to determine (a) the effect of increased time of exposure on the dimensional and structural change of exposed nerves, (b) the effect of multiple versus single exposure on the same, and (c) a frequency and amplitude window that promotes greater effect on the growth and structure of the nerve segments. Three control and three experimental nerve segments were randomly chosen for DNA analysis. DNA was extracted for gel electrophoresis.

Results:

In the first experiment, the initial dimensions of both the control and experimental nerve segments were 15mm in length and 1mm in width. At the end of the experiment the ends of the exposed nerve segments showed significantly more dendritic growth than the control. Control segments remained at their initial length, while the exposed segments appeared to grow in length. The final dimensions of exposed nerve segments were 20mm in length and 1.5mm in width, a 33% increase in length and a 50% increase in width.

.....

The response of the nerve segments in the second set of experiments to magnetic fields was similar to the first: the length and width of exposed nerve segments increased.

.....

The light microscope observations revealed a normal and regular distribution of the axons in the exposed segments. In contrast the axons in the control nerve segments were fewer in number, had an irregular and abnormal shape, and had a very narrow band of myelination. Under the electron microscope, the exposed segments exhibited myelin sheaths with a normal distribution of microtubules and neurofilaments, Schwann cells with normal configuration, and mitochondria with condensed conformation indicative of anabolic activity. In contrast, nerve segments in the control group showed fragmented and disintegrated myelin sheath suggestive of lack of myelin synthesis, highly vacuolated Schwann cells, and mitochondria with inactive and orthodox conformation.

Results of the electrophoresis of DNA extracted from control and experimental nerves showed a similar single band of DNA in 0.8% and 2.0% agarose minigel, which suggest that the magnetic fields used in these experiments did not cause DNA degradation. Both the exposed and control nerves also stained negative for the MIB-I marker, implying that the

magnetic fields used in this experiment did not lead to uncontrolled cell proliferation. (11)

2. Restoration of Nerve Ultrastructure and Recovery from Motorneuropathy in Mice by Electromagnetic Field

The effect of electromagnetic fields (EMFs) on the restoration of forelimb grip strength and radial nerve ultrastructure was studied in mice with motorneuropathy induced by the administration of neurotoxin, 0.62% 3,3'-iminodipropionitrile (IDPN), in drinking water for 9 ½ weeks. Forelimb grip strength (lb) of mice as measured by a force gauge meter declined to 47% compared to the control group ($p < 0.004$). The IDPN treated group without any EMF exposure persisted to have a 56% decrease in grip strength; and radial nerve electronmicrographs showed axonal demyelination, mitochondria in an orthodox state of conformation (nonfunctional) and uneven dispersion of neurofilaments and microtubules. In contrast, one IDPN treated group was treated with applied EMF (electromagnetic field) intensities and frequencies that were calculated on the basis of the mass of molecules vital to nerve function using $mc^2 = bvLq$ and $f = qB/2\pi m$. During EMF exposure mice were held in a perforated Lucite box which was placed in a Resonator that generated the EMF between the centers of two 18" discs, 9" apart, containing copper coils in Helmholtz configuration. EMF was applied twice weekly for 8 ½ weeks that resulted in as much as 87% recovery ($p < 0.05$) of grip strength. This was sustained after the termination of exposure at an 82% level until the 27th week of observation. The EMF exposed group also exhibited axonal remyelination, functional condensed state of mitochondria, and evenly dispersed neurofilaments and microtubules consistent with grip strength recovery.

.....

These results are the first to demonstrate a biological effect of EMF in vivo on the restoration of subcellular structures required for nerve impulse conduction and metabolism in nerves, and consequently a grip strength recovery from motorneuropathy, under controlled experimental conditions.

.....

The studies were conducted at the Weill Medical College of Cornell University, and replicated at Fairleigh Dickinson University, School of Natural Sciences. (Saxena, A., Jacobson, JI, Saxena, B., et al, 2003) (6)

Radial Nerve Ultrastructure Presented In Electron Micrographs

Fig. 1 Electron micrograph (EM) of cross sections of radial nerve of mice from control Group 1, indicating Axon (AX), Axonal membrane (AXM), Golgi bodies (GO), Microtubule (MIC), Mitochondria (MT), Myelin sheath (MY), Neurofilament (NF), Schwann cells (SC), A. (Top) GO, MT, B. (Bottom Left) MT binary fission, C. (Bottom) NF. EM Magnification x 19,000. Scale Bar = 1 μ m.





Fig. 2 Electron micrograph (EM) of cross sections of radial nerve of mice from IDPN treated Group 3 unexposed to EMF indicating Axon (AX), Axonal membrane (AXM), Microtubule (MIC), Mitochondria (MT), Myelin sheath (MY), Neurofilament (NF), Schwanna cells (SC). A. (Top) MY, AXA, B. (Bottom) MY, AXM, MT, NF. EM Magnification x 10,000. Scale Bar = 1 .






Fig. 3 Electron micrographs (EM) of cross sections of radial nerve of mice from IDPN treated Group 2 exposed to EMF, indicating Axon (AX), Axonal membrane (AXM), Golgi bodies (GO), Microtubule (MIC), Mitochondria (MT), Myelin sheath (MY), Neurofilament (NF), Schwann cells (SC). A. (Top) MT, MY, AXM, NF, MIC, B. (Bottom Left) GO, MIC, C. (Bottom Right) GO, NF, MY, MT. EM Magnification A, B x 19,000, C x 4,800. Scale Bar = 1 .



3. Electromagnetic Fields Affect Cardiac Rate and Rhythm

Results showed that pico-Tesla range electromagnetic fields (EMFs) applied non-invasively can slow the heart rate and enhance AV block. This effect could be used in patients with atrial tachycardias (ATs) and atrial fibrillation (AF) to slow rapid ventricular rates. Other specific EMFs can actually induce autonomically based AF, which could be used to detect those at risk for developing AF. Indeed, the use of pico-Tesla range electromagnetic fields to suppress atrial fibrillation may be the first step toward a completely noninvasive treatment for atrial fibrillation (Scherlag, B.J. et al, 2004). Studies were conducted at the Heart Rhythm Institute, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center. Studies are ongoing. (5)

Low Level Electromagnetic Fields Suppress Atrial Fibrillation Inducibility

Background: We recently reported that low-level vagal nerve electrical stimulation significantly increased atrial and pulmonary vein (PV) effective refractory period (ERP) and decreased atrial fibrillation (AF) inducibility.

Methods:

In 9 anesthetized dogs, via bilateral thoracotomies, multi-electrode catheters were placed on the right and left atria and on all the PVs. Group 1 (n=4). The dissected vagal trunks were placed between two small (3/4 inch diameter) Helmholtz coils (HCs). The HCs were attached through resistors to a function generator which induced an AC current providing an EMF of 0.034 Gauss, frequency of 0.952 Hz derived from and respectively. During pacing (180/min) at each site, each pacing stimulus was followed (2 msec) by a high frequency train (200 Hz, 40 msec duration) delivered during the atrial RP. The lowest voltage that induced AF was taken as the AF threshold for that site measured at baseline and then hourly during EMF application for 3 hours. Group II (n=5). An 18-inch HC was positioned across the chest so that the heart was centered within the coil. With programmed stimulation at baseline we determined the Effective Refractory Period (ERP) and Window of Vulnerability (WOV) and also hourly (in sinus rhythm) for each of 3 hours during which AF was induced by rapid atrial pacing. The width of WOVI in milliseconds was a measure of AF inducibility. During the next 3 hours EMF and induced AF were combined and the same parameters measured hourly. Microelectrodes inserted into the anterior right ganglionated plexi (ARGP) recorded neural firing.

Results: Group 1. The mean AF thresholds during 3 hours, increased at all sites, $p < 0.05$ during the EMF application. Group II. The mean ERPs decreased ($p < 0.05$) and the mean WOVs increased ($p < 0.001$) during the first 3 hours of induced AF compared to baseline. The neural firing increased both in amplitude and frequency, $p < 0.05$. After 3 hours of combined EMF and induced AF these effects were significantly reversed. $P < 0.05$ compared to the highest values in the first 3 hrs.

Conclusions:

Pulsed EMF applied to the dissected vagal trunks or non-invasively across the chest can significantly increase AF threshold and suppress AF inducibility caused by sustained AF.

Studies were conducted at the Heart Rhythm Institute, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center. Studies began in 1998 and are ongoing. (5, 10)

4. Efficacy and Safety of Low Level Electromagnetic Field Treatment in Parkinson's Disease

Background: Small case series suggest extremely low level (Tesla) electromagnetic fields (EMF) may be useful in the treatment of Parkinson's disease (PD). No controlled studies have been previously reported.

Design/Methods: A single center, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of EMF as an adjuvant to standard medical therapy in PD patients with motor fluctuations was performed in 12 subjects (6 per group). 24 sessions of 1.5 hour of total body EMF were administered over 8 weeks. Standardized motor and non-motor assessments were performed prior to treatment, at endpoint, and monthly for 3 months.

Results: The treatment group demonstrated significant improvement over placebo after 8 weeks of therapy as follows: Scale, absolute point reduction, % improvement vs % improvement placebo (unless noted all results p < .05): UPDRS II(ON) 5.5, 56% vs 28%; UPDRS III(ON) 9.5, 40% vs 20%, p5.054; PDQ-39(SI) 8.4, 42% vs 7%; PDQ-39(MOB) 11.67, 47% vs 6%; PDQ-39(ADL) 15.97 pts, 64% vs 9%; PDQ-39(BD) 8.33 pts, 30% vs -13%; Beck Depression Inventory II 5.73 pts, 47% vs 1%; Fatigue Severity Scale 7.66pts, 22% vs 5%, p5.12; Finger Taps (ON) 67 taps. 25% vs -5%. It is important to note that improvement on several scales persisted up to 2 months post treatment. No treatment related adverse events were reported.

Conclusions:

Low-level EMF may improve motor and non-motor features of PD beyond that achieved with standard medical therapy.

These effects are long-lasting. Larger placebo-controlled studies have been undertaken to confirm and further investigate the benefit of this unique, noninvasive and potentially-promising therapy.

Key Findings of Pilot II results: Baseline to Endpoint:

	<i>Baseline</i>	<i>Endpoint Week 8</i>	<i>% Chg</i>	<i>Washout Week 16</i>	<i>% Chg</i>
PDQ-39 (SI)-summary index QoL					
Treatment	20.01 +/-12.26	11.61 +/-7.44	(-42%)	10.86 +/-9.47	(-46%)
Control	24.52 +/-6.93	22.70 +/-6.84	(-7%)	17.96 +/-8.94	(-27%)
PDQ-39 (Mobility)-motor					
Treatment	25.00 +/-24.75	13.33 +/-13.48	(-47%)	12.92 +/-15.69	(-48%)
Control	35.42 +/-12.19	33.33 +/-16.56	(-6%)	24.58 +/-16.00	(-31%)
PDQ-39 (ADL)-activities of daily living					
Treatment	25.00 +/-18.07	9.03 +/-6.67	(64%)	17.36 +/-14.05	(-31%)
Control	22.92 +/-5.74	20.83 +/-6/97	(-9%)	18.06 +/-3.40	(-21%)
PDQ-39 (BD)-body discomfort/pain					
Treatment	27.78 +/-15.52	19.45 +/-6.80	(-30%)	16.67 +/-13.95	(-40%)
Control	22.22 +/-14.59	25.00 +/-20.41	(+13%)	25.00 +/-22.98	(+13%)
Back Depression Inventory II-depression					
Treatment	12.33 +/-4.76	6.50 +/-5.32	(-47%)	5.83 +/-4.02	(-53%)
Control	12.17 +/-7.25	12.00 +/-4.94	(-1%)	11.50 +/-5.89	(-6%)
UPDRS II (On)-activities of daily living					
Treatment	9.83 +/-6.34	4.33 +/-4.08	(-56%)	5.17 +/-3.17	(-47%)
Control	11.67 +/-4.27	8.33 +/-2.25	(-28%)	8.83 +/-2.48	(-24%)
UPDRS III (On)-motor					
Treatment	23.50 +/-12.99	14.00 +/-7.56	(-40%)	15.67 +/-6.83	(-33%)
Control	29.67 +/-7.94	23.83 +/-11.44	(-20%)	27.67 +/-11.55	(-7%)
FSS-fatigue					
Treatment	34.44 +/-10.23	26.67 +/-9.29	(-22%)	28.00 +/-8.99	(-18%)
Control	35.17 +/-8.93	33.33 +/-9.65	(-5%)	35.33 +/-19.29	(+5%)

(Klepitskaya, O., Kumar R., 2008)

5. Effects of Low Intensity and Low Frequency Electromagnetic Field Stimulation (EMFS) on Thoracic Spinal Neurons Receiving Noxious Cardiac and Esophageal Inputs

Summary:

Many patients suffering from angina pectoris are refractory to surgical and pharmacological treatments. In order to improve the quality of life, alternative methods must be developed to relieve the pain in these patients. Because low intensity electromagnetic fields provide substantial levels of pain relief in patients with different sources of chronic pain, it was proposed that electromagnetic field stimulation might be used to reduce the pain of angina pectoris. To test the effects of EMF stimulation in an animal model, small Helmholtz coils were placed on both sides of the chest of anesthetized rats. A mixture of algescic chemicals that are usually released during ischemic episodes of the heart was injected into the pericardial sac to activate cardiac nociceptive afferent fibers. During the injections, extracellular action potentials were recorded from cells in the upper thoracic spinal cord. Increased cell activity during the chemical injection was interpreted as a response to a nociceptive cardiac stimulus. We found that the responses of a population of thoracic spinal neurons to the nociceptive stimulus were reduced when pico-Tesla EM field stimulation (Jacobson Resonance-derived signal parameters) was applied for 40 minutes. The activity often remained suppressed for up to two hours after terminating the stimulations.

.....

These results show that EM field stimulation can modify nociceptive cardiac afferent information that affects the processing of spinal neurons. This leads to the suggestion that this technique might be used in the future to reduce cardiac pain in patients who are refractory to surgical and pharmacologic treatments. (8, 24)

.....

6. Low Amplitude, Extremely Low Frequency Magnetic Fields for the Treatment of Osteoarthritic Knees: A Double-Blind Clinical Study

Participating Institutions:

Institute of Theoretical Physics and Advanced Studies for Biophysical research, The Perspectivism Foundation Jupiter Fla.

National Medical Research Institute, Department of Medical Physics and Neuromagnetics Boca Raton, Fla.

Department of Medicine, Cardiac Arrhythmia Research Institute, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, Okla.

Department of Obstetrics/Gynecology Division of Reproductive Endocrinology, Cornell University Weill College of Medicine, New York, NY.

Prototyping Laboratory, John C. Stennis Space Center, Miss.

Context:

Noninvasive magnetotherapeutic approaches to bone healing have been successful in past clinical studies.

Objective:

To determine the effectiveness of low-amplitude, extremely low frequency magnetic fields on patients with knee pain due to osteoarthritis.

Designs:

Placebo-controlled, randomized, double-blind clinical study.

Setting:

4 outpatient clinics

Participants:

176 patients were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 groups: the placebo group (magnet off) or the active group (magnet on).

Intervention:

6-minute exposure to each magnetic field signal using 8 exposure sessions for each treatment session, the number of treatment sessions totaling 8 during a 2-week period, yielded patients being exposed to uniform magnetic fields for 48 minutes per treatment session 8 times in 2 weeks. The magnetic fields used in this study were generated by a Jacobson Resonator, which consists of two 18-inch diameter (46-cm diameter) coils connected in series, in turn connected to a function generator via an attenuator to obtain the specific amplitude and frequency. The range of magnetic field amplitudes used were in the pico-Tesla range.

Outcome Measures:

Each subject rated his or her pain level from 1 (minimal) to 10 (maximal) before and after each treatment, and 2 weeks after treatment. Subjects also recorded their pain intensity in a diary while outside the treatment environment for 2 weeks after the last treatment session (session 8) twice daily: upon awakening (within 15 minutes) and upon retiring (just before going to bed at night).

Results:

reduction in pain after a treatment session was significantly ($P < .001$) greater in the magnet-on group (46%) compared to the magnet-off group (8%).

Conclusion:

.....
Low-amplitude, extremely low frequency magnetic fields are safe and effective for treating patients with chronic knee pain due to osteoarthritis. (25)
.....

7. Effects of pico-Tesla electromagnetic field treatment on wound healing in rats

The following study was executed at the College of Veterinary Medicine, Mississippi State University. Jacobson's Protocols and the Jacobson pico-Tesla electromagnetic therapy unit were supplied by Jacobson Resonance Enterprises, Inc.

C. Todd Trostel, DVM; Ron M. McLaughlin, DVM, DVSc; John G. Lamberth, PhD; Robert C. Cooper, DVM, MS; Steven H. Elder, PhD; Roy R. Pool, DVM, PhD; Cheng Gao, DDS, MS; Joseph A. Cromiak, PhD; Carolyn R. Boyle, PhD.

Objective:

To evaluate the effects of a pico-Tesla electromagnetic field (PTEF) on healing of sutured and open skin wounds and clinicopathologic variables in rats.

Animals:

64 male Fischer 344 rats

Procedure:

An incision made in the dorsal aspect of the neck was sutured (n= 32) or left open to heal (32) in each group. 16 rats were not PTEF treated (controls). Wound treatment consisted of exposure to a PTEF once daily. Rats in each group were euthanatized at days 2, 4, 7, and 14. Wounds were evaluated via tensiometry (sutured wounds), digital planimetry (open wounds), laser Doppler perfusion imaging, bacteriologic culture, and histologic examination. Blood samples were collected from all rats for analysis.

Results:

At day 14, sutured wounds in PTEF treated rats were stronger (ultimate stress) and tougher (strain energy) than were sutured wounds in control rats. Open wounds in PTEF treated rats contracted more quickly at days 2 and 4 than did those in control rats. Compared with control wounds, histologic changes (indicative of improved healing) in sutured and open wounds in PTEF treated rats were detected as early as day 4. Laser Doppler perfusion measurements, results of CBCs, serum biochemical analyses, and bacteriologic cultures were not different between groups.

Conclusions and Clinical Relevance:

Exposure to the PTEF caused no adverse effects on clinicoathologic, histologic, or bacteriologic variables tested in this study.

.....
It appears that PTEF is a safe form of adjuvant treatment for wounds and speeds contraction of open wounds. (9)
.....

In Vitro Cancer Cell Studies

8. The following studies were conducted from (2000), by the Department of Basic Sciences, Veterinary Medical Research, Mississippi State University

The principle investigator was Prof. Cody Coyne. The Jacobson Resonator was utilized, and pico-Tesla electromagnetic field (PTEMF) signal parameters were derived from Jacobson's Equation (in collaboration with Prof. Jerry Jacobson).

Preliminary Investigations and Experimental Findings:

Preliminary Investigations: During the first replicate study a total of twelve multi-frequency PTEMEF schedules were screened for their ability to alter the viability and/or proliferation rate of human mammary carcinoma cell populations (HTB-126 & MCF-7) in multi well tissue culture plates. Of these twelve techniques, two were found to compromise the viability and/or proliferation rate of HTB-126/MCF-7 cell types relative to untreated negative reference controls. Over the course of subsequent replicate studies (n= 7) a total of three out of twenty-three (n=3/23) multi-frequency PTEMEF schedules were observed to consistently inhibit the viability and/or proliferation rate of HTB-126/MCF-7 populations between 31% to 35% compared to untreated negative reference controls.

Subsequent investigations identified membrane associated complexes that are expressed at elevated or decreased levels in MCF-7 populations following exposure to multi-frequency PTEMEF schedules. Subsequent investigations have detected several mRNA sequences (n=3) that are expressed at higher levels (n=1) or uniquely expressed (n=2) in populations of MCF-7 human mammary carcinoma following exposure to multi-frequency PTEMEF schedules. It is our intention to publish these experimental findings in the future. However, due to the very nature of PTEMEF technology and instrumentation, there are numerous combinations of the variables of EM intensity, EM frequency, exposure duration, and exposure number that need to be evaluated in order to fully delineate the potential anti-neoplastic properties provided by this modality.

Subsequent investigations attempted to determine if vitality staining, protein fraction, and/or mRNA transcription preparations performed immediately after exposure of MCF-7 human mammary carcinoma cell populations to PTEMEF schedules influenced experimental findings. The source of these biological samples were MCF-7 human mammary carcinoma cell populations processed approximately four hours after the fifth and final PTEMEF exposure period. In addition, an attempt was made to determine the relative biological influence of individual single-frequency PTEMEF techniques contained within multi-frequency PTEMEF schedules.

.....
Collective interpretation of experimental findings reveals an ability of a multi-frequency PTEMEF schedule to induce alterations in viability/

proliferation rate and expression profiles of (i) cytosol-soluble and membrane associated protein fractions; and (ii) genetic transcription of mRNA sequences compared to negative (non-exposed) reference controls.

.....

In this context, these alterations appeared to be of a different pattern when experimental samples were immediately processed following MCF-7 exposure to the fifth and final PTEMEF schedule. In contrast, slightly different and slightly more subtle differences were appreciated when an intentional delay of several hours was implemented between the final PTEMEF schedule exposure and sample preparation. Appreciation of this observation implies that maximum alteration in protein expression and mRNA transcription may occur during or shortly after periods of PTEMEF exposure. In addition, there was also a relative difference in the biological affect exerted by individual single-frequency PTEMEF techniques contained within the “master” multi-frequency PTEMEF schedule. Ultimately, these laboratory findings will serve as an experimental foundation for future research investigations devoted to delineating (i) time-frames that PTEMEF exert a biological affect; (ii) duration PTEMEF induced molecular/ genetics alterations; (iii) identity of PTEMEF that selectively exert specific biological affects in living systems; and (iv) identity of molecular/genetic “targets” that PTEMEF interact with in a manner that creates a biological affect. (26)

10. Alleviation of Chronic Pain (1999): Case-Controlled and Double-Blind Clinical Studies

Hospital Costa Del Sol & Hospital Serrania de Rhonda, under the supervision of Hospital Clinico de Malaga, Spain (Dr. Pedro Alonso Atienza) Institute of Theoretical Physics and Advanced Studies for Biophysical Research, Jupiter, Florida, USA (Prof. Jerry I. Jacobson)




FIGURE 3 Comparison of mean pain ratings




FIGURE 4 Comparison of mean percentage reduction in pain level

Status:

More than 300 patients were treated with Jacobson Resonance therapy (1999) and more than 90% of same experienced an alleviation of chronic pain. During the fourth quarter of 1999, 86 patients, with arthrosis of the knee were treated in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. A total of 84 patients (97.7%) experienced a statistically-significant immediate reduction of pain. Furthermore, a week later, approximately the same level of pain reduction had been maintained in 52 patients (60.5%). Jacobson Resonators have been successfully guided through CETECOM homologation tests (technical standards certified by the European Union), have complied closely with Direccion de Productos Sanitarios y Farmaceuticos (The Spanish Health Ministry), and have satisfied the European Union's Medical Device Directive. Jacobson Resonators were judged to be non-hazardous. CE-Mark approval was granted on January 10, 2001.

11. Fibromyalgia: Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Randomized Clinical Study

Fibromyalgia is a syndrome involving chronic diffuse widespread aching and stiffness of muscles and soft tissues as well as lack of stage IV sleep. Diagnosis conventionally requires 11 of 18 specific tender points including the occiput, neck, shoulders, chest, elbows, gluteus, greater trochanter, and knees.

4/kg touch pressure elicits a painful response. Tender points are found on both sides of the body, and above and below the waist. Fibromyalgia has become an ever-increasing, often-debilitating condition throughout the world. Its etiology is unknown, although it has been linked to physical and emotional trauma, as well as viral infection.

A double-blind, placebo-controlled and randomized clinical study was performed with three groups: A (n=5), B (n=4) and C (N=4). Groups A and B were treated with different pTEMF protocols to establish optimization of signal parameters, while group C served as the Placebo. All subjects sat in the Magnesphere under the same ambient conditions, except that Group C did not experience exposure to pTEMF's. Scales utilized to determine outcome included NPI (pain scale/subject rated), FIQ (quality of life scale), MOS (pain sleep scale), and ACR (pain scale/clinician rated).

Group A Treated

- The (NPI) baseline (for the last 3 Tx) average was 7.86 and it went to 4.168, a 46.97% decrease in pain/stiffness as determined by the patient.
- The (normalized) FIQ average baseline was 71.35, and at endpoint it was 37.48, a 47.47% decrease, showing a significant improvement in quality of life.
- The average baseline for MOS was 64.154, and at the end (day 14) the MOS was 32.034, a 50.07% improvement in sleep.
- The average for ACR/pain at baseline was 14, and at the end (day 14) it was 6.6, a 52.86% improvement.

Group B Treated

- The NPI baseline average was 7.4975, and the average of the last 3TX at endpoint was 4.5, a 39.98% improvement.
- The FIQ subtotal (normalized) baseline was 57.9885, and the endpoint (normalized) was 37.79435, a 34.82% improvement in quality of life. The MOS baseline subtotal average was 52.075, and at the endpoint (day 14) it was 34.195, a 34.34% improvement in sleep.
- The subtotal average ACR/Pain at baseline was 16.25, and at the endpoint (day14) it was 8.5, a 47.69% improvement.

Group C Untreated Control

- The NPI baseline was 8.88, and the endpoint was 7.00, a 21.21% improvement.
 - The FIQ average baseline was 76.84, and at endpoint it was 56.38, a 26.62% improvement.
 - The MOS average baseline was 68.74, and at endpoint it was 53.23, a 22.57% improvement.
 - The ACR/Pain average at baseline was 16.25, and at endpoint it was 11.00, a 32.31% improvement.
- (Each TX exposure was 60 min 10 TX were performed)

% Responders in Groups A, B and C

30% represents clinical significance.

❖ (NPI) % Of individual responders for each group

Group A- 80%

Group B- 50%

Control Group C- 25%

Groups A and B combined: 66.67%

Group C (Control) - 25%

❖ (FIQ) % individual responders for each group

Group A- 60.00%

Group B- 75.00%

Control group C- 50.00%

❖ (MOS) % of individual responders for each group

Group A- 80%

Group B- 50%

Control Group C- 25%

.....

These data indicate that pT EMF's may diminish pain/stiffness and that it may improve quality of sleep and life for fibromyalgia sufferers. These effects are long-lasting in most cases. Larger placebo-controlled studies need to be undertaken to confirm and further investigate the benefits of this unique, noninvasive and promising therapy.

.....

Prof. Dr. Jerry Jacobson, theoretical physicist, biophysicist and medical researcher, is a world-renowned pioneer in the field of bio-electromagnetics. Inventor of 40+ patents and author of more than 100 scientific publications, he has lectured on the theory and practice of Jacobson Resonance throughout the world for more than 30 years. He is listed in Who's Who in the World, Who's Who is America, Who's Who in Science and Engineering, and Who's Who of American Inventors.

He currently serves as Chief Science Officer for Pico-Tesla Magnetic Therapies, LLC and Magneceutical Health, LLC. He is recipient of the Albert Einstein Genius Dedication by the American Biographical Institute and a member of the International Order of Merit, a distinction awarded by the International Biographical Centre, Cambridge, England.

For more information please see: www.magneceutical.com

Also, his latest book, "Reason for Life," a compendium of his art, science, poetry and philosophy, is available through www.AbbottPress.com as well as other booksellers.



Dr. Jacobson is seen here lecturing to the Oncologic Society of Sweden, at the City Conference Center in Stockholm, Sweden.

REFERENCES

1. Cohen D. Detection of the brain's electrical activity with a superconducting magnetometer. Science, 1972 (175) p. 664
2. Jacobson JI. Oncogenes and magnetic resonance energies unified algebraically Pan Minerva Medica J. Italian Medical Association 1987; (29) p. 97-104
3. Jacobson JI A look at the possible mechanism and potential of magnetotherapy, J. Theoretical Biology, 1991, (148) p. 97-120 (Academic Press)
4. Klepitskaya O, Kumar R. (2008) Efficacy and Safety of Low Level Electromagnetic Field Treatment in Parkinson's Disease, Movement Disorders ; Vol 23 (11) p. 1628-1637 (Movement Disorder Society)
5. Sherlag B.J, Yamanashi WX, Jacobson JI, Hov Y, Jackman WM, Lazzara R. (2004) Magnetism and Cardiac Arrhythmias, Cardiology in Review, 12 (2) p. 85-96, Lippincott Williams and Wilkins
6. Saxena A, Jacobson JI, Yamanashi WS, Sherlag BJ, Lamberth J, Saxena BB (2003) A hypothetical mathematical construct explaining the mechanism of biological amplification in an experimental model utilizing pico tesla (pT) electromagnetic fields, Medical Hypothesis, 60 (6) p. 821-839, El sevier Science, Ltd.
7. Jacobson JI, Gorman R, Yamanashi WS, Dayton M, Haltwanger S, Saxena BB (2001) Pico-Tesla Range Magnetic Fields Tested in Four Site Double Blind Clinical Study for Treatment of Osteoarthritic Knees, Estratto Da Gazzetta Medica Italiana- Archivio Per Le Science. Mediche (160) p. 1-21, Ediziom Minerva Medica, Torino, Italy.
8. Q in C, Evans JM, Yamanashi WS, Sherlag BJ, Foreman RD. (2005) Effects on rats of low intensity and frequency electromagnetic field stimulation on Thoracis. Spinal Neurons Receiving Noxious Cardiac and Esophageal Inputs. Neuromodulation 8 (2), p 79-87
9. Trostel TC, Mclaughlin RM, Lamberth JG, Cooper RC, Elder SH, Pool AR, Gao C, Cromiak JA, Boyle CR (2003) Effects of picoTesla Electromagnetic Field treatment on wound healing in rats. American J Veterinary Research, (64) p. 845-854.

10. Yu L, Sherlag BJ, Sha Y, Sheng X, Ghias M, Patterson E, Jackman WM, Lazzara R, Po SS, (2010) Low Level Electromagnetic Fields Suppresses Atrial Fibrillation Inducibility, Heart Rhythm (7) p. 593.
11. Jacobson JI, Yamanashi WS, Saxena A, Parekh P, Brown B, Shin D, Saxena BB (2000) Effect of Magnetic Fields on Mice Sciatic Nerves In Vitro. Frontier Perspectives 9 (1) p. 6–11 Center for Frontier Sciences at Temple University
12. Jacobson JI, Kornhauser SH (1998) What is the Fundamental Mechanism by which Very Weak Low Frequency Electromagnetic Fields Influence Biological Systems. American Journal of Electromedicine (47) p. 47–52
13. Einstein, A., (1956) The Meaning of Relativity, Including the Relativistic Theory of the Non-Symmetric Field; Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 164–166
14. Jacobson, JI, (1992) Exploring the Potential of Magneto-Recrystallization of Genes and Associated Structures with Respect to Nerve Regeneration and Cancer. International Journal of Neuroscience, (64) 153–165
15. Jacobson, JI (1986) Coulomb: Gravitational and EM Potential in Dual Resonance, Indian Journal of Theoretical Physics, (34) 231–239
16. Jacobson JI, Yamanashi WS (1994) A Possible Physical Mechanism in the Treatment of Neurologic Disorders with Externally Applied Pico-Tesla Magnetic Fields. Physiological Chemistry and Physics and Medical NMR, 26(4) 287–297
17. Jacobson JI (1990) The Intrinsic Electro-Gravitational Mechanism of Life, The Basis of Neoplasia, and the Clinical Method of Repair. Panminerva Medica, 32(4) 159–171
18. Jacobson, JI (1994) Influence of Electromagnetism On Genes And Associated Structures, 30 (30), p. 245–248.
19. Jacobson, JI (1995) A Magnetic Approach To AIDS. Pan Minerva Medica (Quarterly J. European Medicine), 37 (1), p. 22–27.
20. Jacobson, JI. Jacobson Resonance: The Quantum Mechanical Basis For A Novel Radiological Approach To Treating Cancer And AIDS. Frontier Perspectives. The Center For Frontier Sciences, Temple University. 6 (1): 17–27, 1997
21. Jacobson, JI. Speculations On The Influence Of Electromagnetism On Genomic And Associated Structures. Journal of International Medical Research. Cambridge Medical Publication 24(1): 1–12, 1996

22. Jacobson, JI. Magneto–Recrystallization Of Genomic And Associated Structures Potentially Applicative To Such Diverse Concerns As Cancer, AIDS and CNS Regeneration. Chinese Medical Journal 104 (12): 1025–1032, 1991
23. Bistolfi, Franco (1991); Biostructures and Radiation Order Disorder; The Italian Medical Association (Minerva Medica) Torino Italy; p. 44–49
24. C. Qin JM., Chandler WS., Yamanshi WS., Jacobson, JI., Foreman RD. Department Physiology, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City. Effects of Low Intensity and Low Frequency Electromagnetic Field Stimulation (EMFS) On Thoracic Spinal Neurons Receiving Noxious Cardiac And Esophageal Inputs In Rats. (349.17) Society For Neuroscience Abstracts, 30 (1) 30th Annual Meeting, New Orleans, LA Nov.4–9, (2000)
25. Jacobson, JI., Yamanashi WS., Gorman R., Lamberth J., Clayton L., Saxena B. Low Amplitude, Extremely Low Frequency Magnetic Fields For The Treatment Of Osteoarthritic Knees: A Double Blind Clinical Study. Proceedings, International Conference on Electromagnetic Fields and Human Health, Fundamental and Applied Research, Sept. 17–24, 2002, Moscow–St. Petersburg, Russia. Russian Academy of Sciences.
26. Jacobson JI, Coyne C, Saxena A, Saxena B, Yamanashi WS, Clayton L, Scherlag B; (2000); The Potential of Jacobson Resonance in Health and Medicine; Seminar; Mississippi State University, College of Veterinary Sciences; Jacobson Resonance Enterprises, Inc.